

# **EXHIBIT 7**

# **EXHIBIT 7**

CERTIFIED  
COPY

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF NEVADA - RENO DIVISION

-oOo-

PAMELA D. LONGONI, individually,  
and as Guardian Ad Litem for LACEY  
LONGONI, and JEAN M. GAGNON,

Case No.

3:10-CV-00297-LRH-(VPC)

Plaintiffs,

vs.

GMAC MORTGAGE, LLC, a Delaware  
Limited Liability Company, et al.,

Defendants.

---

DEPOSITION OF

MOST KNOWLEDGEABLE WITNESS ON  
BEHALF OF GMAC MORTGAGE, LLC


JUAN AGUIRRE

September 1, 2011

Reno, Nevada

REPORTED BY: DEBORA L. CECERE NV CCR, #324, RPR

JOB NO. 143998



1 Number 1 for identification to this deposition.

2 (Exhibit Number 1 was marked for  
3 identification.)

4 BY MR. BEKO:

5 Q And ask you whether or not you recognize that,  
6 what's depicted in that document.

7 A I haven't seen the notice itself.

8 Q You haven't seen that before?

9 A Not the notice, no.

10 Q Okay. We'll come back to it in a little bit.

11 Is it your understanding, sir, that you are here  
12 today as a, a designated appointee under the Federal Rules  
1 as the person most knowledgeable with regard to General  
14 Motors or GMAC Mortgage, LLC?

15 A Yes.

16 Q All right. Now, my understanding from your  
17 counsel is that you also occupy that same position and  
18 title with regard to the additional defendant in this case,  
19 Residential Funding Company, LLC.

20 Is that your understanding as well?

21 A Yes.

22 Q Okay. We'll come back to that exhibit here in a  
23 little bit.

24 What's your current address, sir?

25 A My work address?

1 Residential Funding Corporation?

2 A To my knowledge, yes.

3 Q All right. What is it?

4 A Residential Funding Corporation -- I don't know  
5 how exactly to explain it. All I know is that at one point  
6 they owned loans and were the investor of loans. That's  
7 all I know --

8 Q All right.

9 A -- regarding that.

10 Q Do you know, is it a corporation?

11 A I don't know if it's a corporation or what it  
12 is. No, I do not.

13 Q Do you know where it's located?

14 A The last time I remember seeing an address was  
15 in Minnesota.

16 Q Now, there's also a name that's frequently used  
17 that I've seen in lots of documents called Residential  
18 Funding Company, LLC.

19 A Okay.

20 Q Do you know -- what do you know about that  
21 company?

22 A I don't know about Residential Funding Company.

23 Q Do you know what the difference is between  
24 Residential Funding Corporation and Residential Funding  
25 Company, LLC?

1 A No.

2 Q Do you -- you don't even know whether they're  
3 two different companies or if they're in any way related or  
4 anything at all; is that correct?

5 A Correct. I don't know if they're related at  
6 all, no.

7 Q Okay. All you know, I guess, as I understand  
8 it, is that what you believe to be Residential Funding is  
9 located somewhere in Minnesota and that they own certain  
10 loans?

11 A Correct.

12 Q Do you know about a company -- well, let me ask  
13 you first.

14 As far as Residential Funding Corporation, do  
15 you know what its relationship to GMAC is?

16 A Yes.

17 Q What is its relationship to GMAC?

18 A Relationship -- regarding this loan, is what I'm  
19 answering to. Is that what you mean?

20 Q No. No. What is its -- is it in any way  
21 related to General Motors -- GMAC Mortgage, LLC?

22 In other words, is it owned -- does one of these  
23 companies own the other company, does one of the companies  
24 have some interest in it?

25 Do you know anything at all about the

1 same page here.

2 A Okay.

3 Q When you say Residential Funding, you don't know  
4 if you're really referring to Residential Funding Company,  
5 LLC, or Residential Funding Corporation, correct?

6 A I'm referring to Residential Funding Corporation  
7 when I'm talking about RFC.

8 Q Okay. All right. And to your knowledge,  
9 Residential Funding Corporation still is in existence  
10 today?

11 A That is my understanding.

12 Q All right. You started to explain to me what  
13 you thought Residential Funding -- and I'm just going to  
14 say -- call it RFC from this point forward.

15 A Okay.

16 Q And you're always going to be talking about  
17 Residential Funding Corporation, correct?

18 A Correct.

19 Q Okay. What is RFC's relationship to GMAC as far  
20 as this loan is concerned?

21 A Regarding this loan, we are the subservicer. We  
22 service on their behalf. They are the master servicer to  
23 this loan, and we have been designated as the subservicer  
24 to service the loan for them.

25 Q Okay. Let me see if I am following you. GMAC

JUAN AGUIRRE - 9/1/2011

Page 66

1 is a servicer for this loan, and the actual servicer was  
2 RFC?

3 MR. BASHFORD: Objection.

4 THE WITNESS: The master servicer is RFC.

5 BY MR. BEKO:

6 Q Right.

7 A And we, meaning GMAC, are the subservicers to  
8 this loan, correct.

9 Q Okay. So, you recall the testimony before about  
10 GMAC not actually owning any of the loans, instead it was  
11 simply contracting with the owner to provide servicing  
12 services for that loan.

1 Do you recall that testimony?

14 A Yes.

15 Q Okay. If I understand what you're saying to me  
16 now, is, is that GMAC did not contract directly with the  
17 owner of the loan, but instead GMAC has a contractual  
18 arrangement with RFC that it's going to perform RFC's  
19 servicing obligations on behalf of RFC, and RFC had some  
20 kind of agreement with the owner that it was supposed to be  
21 the servicer. Is that right?

22 A My understanding is, yes, we do have an  
23 agreement with -- I think it's in the exhibits -- the  
24 Servicer Guide to service the loans for RFC, who is the  
25 master servicer for the owner, or the investor, as they

1 that's how I have to the best of my knowledge.

2 Q In your information, all the information you  
3 have as to who held and who owned this note and the  
4 mortgage came from this paralegal Rosemary?

5 A Correct.

6 Q And upon belief in the accuracy of what she told  
7 you, you then verified these answers?

8 A Correct.

9 Q Okay. So as we look here, we see something  
10 happening, apparently -- if one were to read the accuracy,  
11 read this accurately, 1/5 of '06, Residential Funding  
12 Company, LLC, as trustee, gets beneficial rights from EC on  
13 1/5/06. And then on 10/8 of '06 Residential Funding Co.,  
14 LLC, but not as trustee, gets transfer of servicing rights  
15 from EC.

16 Do you see that?

17 A I do.

18 Q Do you have any idea, sir, what the difference  
19 is between Residential Funding Company, LLC, as trustee,  
20 and Residential Funding Co. as LLC?

21 A Not right now, no.

22 Q All right. Do you have any idea what  
23 "beneficial rights" mean?

24 A My understanding at that point was that the  
25 rights to the loans were transferred from EquiFirst over to



1 RFC.

2 Q Okay. Loan, meaning the promissory note?

3 A The promissory note and the whole loan itself  
4 was transferred over to RFC.

5 Q Okay. And what, what -- would you define for me  
6 what you mean as "the whole loan itself"?

7 What does that encompass?

8 A Well, the rights to the note itself. The rights  
9 to owning the note at that point. They're the new  
10 beneficiary or the new owner of the note, yes.

11 Q Okay. Do you understand -- is there any  
12 difference in your mind, sir, between the owner of the note  
13 and the beneficiary of the note?

14 MR. BASHFORD: Objection.

15 THE WITNESS: No. To me, the beneficiary and  
16 the owner of the note, to me, it means that they own the  
17 note, that they hold the note, yes.

18 BY MR. BEKO:

19 Q Okay. Do you understand there to be any legal  
20 significance of the word "holder" of a note?

21 MR. BASHFORD: Objection.

22 BY MR. BEKO:

23 Q Do you have any knowledge of the legal  
24 significance of the word "holder" of the note?

25 A I'm not an attorney, legal language. Yeah.

JUAN AGUIRRE - 9/1/2011

Page 87.

1 A Correct.

2 Q Have you seen, at any time in your career with  
3 these companies, the original promissory note?

4 A No.

5 Q Do you have any idea where that original  
6 promissory note is today?

7 A Nope. Sorry. No.

8 Q That's okay. Thank you.

9 Have you ever seen a copy of that promissory  
10 note?

11 A The one that we had a copy of or what's out  
12 there today?

13 Q Let's talk about -- if there's something  
14 different, let's talk about -- it's a good answer.

15 Let's talk first about the one you say "we had."  
16 And when you say "we," who is "we"?

17 A Well, a copy of it, as you stated. I mean, we,  
18 GMAC, had a copy imaged in our system of the promissory  
19 note. That's in the Looking Glass, as I stated earlier.

20 Q Okay.

21 MR. BEKO: And I'm going to go ahead and mark as  
22 an exhibit this document.

23 (Exhibit Number 8 was marked for  
24 identification.)

25 ///

JUAN AGUIRRE - 9/1/2011

Page 94

1 A I don't know if it's the back side. Again, I  
2 think we discussed that earlier, that to me the images are  
3 just showing, you know, in my imaging system as one page.

4 Q Okay. But so we're clear --

5 A Okay.

6 Q -- when you see this document in your imaging  
7 system, it's the only place that you know that you can go  
8 to, to find this document, correct?

9 A Yes.

10 Q You couldn't walk down the hall, open the door  
11 and go out and pull it out and look at the actual hard  
12 copy.

1 You don't know that that document exists  
14 anywhere where you can go do that, correct?

15 A Not in my office. I think we discussed earlier  
16 that it's kept with the custodian in, in their office or  
17 wherever they keep it.

18 Q And who is the custodian?

19 A I think we discussed it was RFC.

20 Q Okay. So you think that it's possible that you  
21 could go to RFC's office -- and that's in Minnesota, right?

22 A Correct.

23 Q And you think you could go to their office and  
24 you think you could find this note, the original note, in  
25 their office?

1 A If there's more to it, I don't know.

2 Q All right. Let's take a moment, sir, and talk  
3 for a second about this apparent company, Residential Asset  
4 Mortgage Products, Inc.

5 What do you know about that company?

6 A All I know is that they purchased the loans,  
7 which happened to be also the Longoni loan along with it,  
8 and they purchased the pool of loans. That's my  
9 understanding.

10 Q Okay.

11 A They assumed the loans, I guess.

12 Q Do you know what Residential Asset Management  
13 Products, Inc. is from the standpoint of a business entity?  
14 For instance, is it a corporation, is it an LLC?

15 A Well, my understanding, per the document, it's a  
16 Delaware corporation.

17 Q Okay. And do you know when it was created?

18 A No, I do not.

19 Q Do you know where its business -- where its  
20 principal place of business is?

21 A No, I do not.

22 Q Have you ever been there, to any offices of  
23 Residential Asset Management Products, Inc.?

24 A No, I have not.

25 Q To your knowledge, does it even have a business

1 address anywhere?

2 A I do not know.

3 Q Do you know how many employees it has?

4 A I do not.

5 Q Have you ever heard, sir, of, of a trust known  
6 as RAMPI 2005 EFC7?

7 A Yes.

8 Q What is that?

9 A My understanding is when RAMPI, or Residential  
10 Asset Mortgage Products, took the loans, they put it into a  
11 trust, and they are what we call the investor now. That's  
12 my understanding.

1 Q So it's your understanding -- let me just ask  
14 you this basic question.

15 A Sure.

16 Q Do you understand what a trust is?

17 MR. BASHFORD: Objection.

18 THE WITNESS: A trust is, to my understanding,  
19 is -- and this we learned in the mortgage. There's loans  
20 and there's investors, individuals who invest in those  
21 loans. And -- I guess I'm going back to what a trust and a  
22 trustee is with regular individuals, and U.S. Bank being  
23 the trustee that oversees that trust and what's going on  
24 with the loan.

25 ///

1 BY MR. BEKO:

2 Q In a legal sense, a trust is a separate legal  
3 entity, like a corporation or a limited liability company.  
4 It's created as a separate legal entity. And then  
5 sometimes people talk about putting money or something in a  
6 trust fund, which is just like an account. It doesn't  
7 create a separate legal entity.

8 Do you know whether or not this RAMPI that's  
9 described as a trust, or, excuse me, RAMPI 2005 EFC7, is  
10 actually a separate legal entity?

11 MR. BASHFORD: Objection.

12 THE WITNESS: My understanding is it's a  
13 separate entity from the Residential Asset -- sorry,  
14 Mortgage Products, RAMPI. It's a totally different entity.  
15 That is my understanding. Yes.

16 BY MR. BEKO:

17 Q And when was that trust formed?

18 A I don't know the exact date that I recall.

19 Q But, but that trust, to your knowledge, as the  
20 person most knowledgeable with GMAC, is the owner of the,  
21 of the note, the promissory note, the Longoni promissory  
22 note. Is that your understanding?

23 A That's my understanding.

24 Q If you look, sir, at Exhibit Number 10 --

25 A Okay.

JUAN AGUIRRE - 9/1/2011

Page 140

1 started out.

2 Q It makes good sense to me.

3 A It used to be like that in the olden days.

4 Q Seems to me if they had that in this case we  
5 wouldn't be here.

6 A Let me see. That's all I can think of.

7 Q Okay.

8 A I'm sure there's more departments out there.

9 Q What is the -- what's the mediations department?  
10 What do those people do?

11 A Those individuals are -- work with the  
12 foreclosure department, and foreclosure loans, loans that  
1 are on foreclosure that are going to be mediated prior to  
14 maybe going into foreclosure, see if they can work with  
15 them. They try to work some sort of modification or some  
16 sort of repayment plan at the mediation itself.

17 Q Are those typically court-ordered mediations or  
18 are they --

19 A My understanding, certain states are court  
20 ordered and some states are voluntary.

21 Q Okay. In this case, this never went through a  
22 mediation here in Nevada, did it?

23 A Not that I'm aware of.

24 Q Do you know why not?

25 A No, I do not.

JUAN AGUIRRE - 9/1/2011

Page 158

1 A As stated earlier, in the Servicer Guide over  
2 here, which I'm -- it's part of the production.

3 Q Okay. Let's kind of go through that.

4 A Okay.

5 Q I'm going to show you a document that's marked  
6 as Exhibit Number 15.

7 A Okay.

8 (Exhibit Number 15 was marked for  
9 identification.)

10 MR. BEKO: And just for the record, that is  
11 Bates numbers RFC 1293 through RFC 571, although I think  
12 there are some -- it's hard to tell with the Bates, but I  
1 think there are some omissions.

14 BY MR. BEKO:

15 Q Do you recognize this document?

16 A Yes.

17 Q All right. Now, your testimony is that at some  
18 point in time during this loan modification request from  
19 the Longonis, this document, Exhibit Number 15, was what  
20 was followed by loss mitigation employees of GMAC when  
21 requested to modify the Longoni loan?

22 A This is a, what, the guide, yes, to working a, a  
23 modification. We would follow the rules from here,  
24 correct.

25 Q All right. Now, this Servicer Guide says -- it



1 has on here "GMAC-RFC."

2 Do you see that?

3 A Yes, I do.

4 Q Do you know why -- I mean, who actually prepared  
5 this?

6 A The document itself?

7 Q Yeah.

8 A I don't know the person who prepared it, but  
9 just by reading the document at the bottom, it's a 2008  
10 Residential Funding Corporation, all rights reserved.

11 So --

12 Q And I certainly see that.

13 A Um-hum.

14 Q But it -- what I understand was that RFC was the  
15 master servicer; it was the one that was calling the shots  
16 as far as the servicing was concerned. Correct?

17 A They delegated the authority to us to service  
18 the loan and work the loans if modifications needed to be  
19 done.

20 Q Right.

21 A Again, like I stated earlier, if there was a  
22 certain level above the authority, then of course, you  
23 know, we had to work up.

24 Q Right. And I think I understand what you're  
25 saying.

JUAN AGUIRRE - 9/1/2011

Page 164

1 Q Gagnon?

2 A -- Mr. Gagnon maybe were qualified for a  
3 different type of modification.

4 Q All right. And that's kind of what my question  
5 is.

6 A Okay.

7 Q Was there some point -- let me ask you this.

8 Exhibit 15 was what we started with in 2009 as  
9 far as loan modifications were concerned. That's what  
10 governed the decision-making process of GMAC employees when  
11 2009 started, correct?

12 A Right, when 2009 started. This started in 2008.  
1 Correct.

14 Q Okay. Was this Servicer Guide, these rules,  
15 guidelines, whatever, were they discontinued when HMP came  
16 into place, or were they simply supplemented by HMP, being  
17 an additional method or means by which to review loan  
18 modification?

19 A HMP was a different method, totally different  
20 modification, different guidelines that we would have to  
21 follow for a different type of modification. But these  
22 were not discontinued. They were still in effect.

23 Q Okay. So is it your understanding that the  
24 employees of GMAC who were dealing with loan modification  
25 could consider it under either the original GMAC-RFC

JUAN AGUIRRE - 9/1/2011

Page 165.

1 Servicer Guide, Exhibit 15, or they could do it under HMP  
2 as well?

3 A Yes. We would look at both options to see what  
4 would be best for the borrower.

5 Q Okay.

6 MR. BEKO: You know what? I'm sorry. I never  
7 gave you the copy. And part of it is on yellow because of  
8 copy machine failure.

9 BY MR. BEKO:

10 Q Do you know who -- strike that.

11 Was a decision ever made, to your knowledge,  
12 that the Longonis -- or Pam Longoni could not qualify under  
13 the GMAC guidelines?

14 A My understanding is that they were reviewing her  
15 loan for a modification when she called in and informed us  
16 of her financial difficulties. But they were reviewing.  
17 That was, that's my understanding at that point.

18 And then at a certain point then they stated  
19 that they were going to maybe look at a HMP modification.

20 Q And when does HMP come into play? When does it  
21 take effect, the new guidelines that they --

22 A I remember HMP coming in, actually, in the world  
23 back in March of 2009. And I think it was sometime in May  
24 of 2009, is when we started working with the HMP  
25 modifications, is what I recall.

JUAN AGUIRRE - 9/1/2011

Page 185.

1 employees how to handle loan modifications, et cetera,  
2 under the Servicer Guide, Exhibit 15.

3 A Correct.

4 Q Okay. Exhibit 21 is a training tool to instruct  
5 employees how to handle home modification under the HMP  
6 program, correct?

7 A Correct.

8 Q Is there a document that describes the HMP  
9 program that is similar to Exhibit Number 15?

10 A I know there's another document regarding the  
11 HMP program, which is also with the documents in discovery,  
12 which I saw when I was reviewing. Not that thick as the  
13 servicer guidelines, but there is another document.

14 (Exhibit Number 22 was marked for  
15 identification.)

16 BY MR. BEKO:

17 Q Showing you what's marked as Exhibit Number 22  
18 for identification.

19 MR. BEKO: Counsel, again, this is GMAC 02-193  
20 through 236.

21 BY MR. BEKO:

22 Q What, what is Exhibit Number 22?

23 A Exhibit 22 is -- it's actually a, a set of  
24 checklists on how to go about doing certain modifications.  
25 Like we have a, a trial modification, permanent

JUAN AGUIRRE - 9/1/2011

Page 186

1 modification approval. It's a checklist on how to go into  
2 our system and what needs to be requested, a step-by-step  
3 checklist for the individuals working the modifications.

4 Q So this would apply to the, both the, I guess,  
5 the GMAC type refinance as reflected in Exhibit 15 as well  
6 as the HMP as well? Is that right?

7 A Not refinance, but loan modification.

8 Q Loan modification.

9 A Yes. And the HMP. Also there's a checklist  
10 here for the HMP as well included.

11 Q Right. Okay. So tell me how, how do these two  
12 interplay with one another, Exhibit 22 and 15?

13 A Well, this is the checklist. This is how we go  
14 into our system and how to go into screens and see if they  
15 qualify for certain programs. It's part of the servicing  
16 of the loan, which would fall into part of the servicing  
17 guides and how we should service loans.

18 Q Okay. That is not a description of the HMP  
19 program like Exhibit 15 is for the GMAC traditional?

20 A No, no. This is just a checklist on how to  
21 conduct certain modifications. There's several different  
22 checklists in here. There's not one checklist. There's  
23 actually several, like you have the trial permanent  
24 modification checklist, and if you keep going, special  
25 servicing checklist.

JUAN AGUIRRE - 9/1/2011

Page 188

1 A Yes. That would be a non-HMP modification,  
2 which would be traditional. Irregular modification on a  
3 loan, correct.

4 Q Was there anything other than traditional  
5 modification that was being used by GMAC before HMP came  
6 along?

7 A In terms of modifications, it was a -- we had  
8 what was called a trial modification, which was kind of  
9 sort of like a repayment plan where there was maybe three  
10 payments to see if the borrower can afford something while  
11 we looked at the traditional modification. But not --  
12 until HMP came along, then we started doing HMP  
13 modifications.

14 Q Along with the traditional one, correct?

15 A We were also doing -- at the same time, yes.

16 Q Okay. And there was nothing, as far as you  
17 know, that required any of your employees to choose one  
18 plan over the other?

19 A Well, what we do is we look at the finances, the  
20 hardship, what the borrower can afford. If they don't fall  
21 within a traditional modification, of course, at that point  
22 when HMP came around it was a little bit more -- what's the  
23 word I'm trying to use -- be aggressive, or we can change  
24 more.

25 Q More liberal?

JUAN AGUIRRE - 9/1/2011

Page 189

1 A More liberal. We can reduce the interest more,  
2 maybe extend the terms a little bit more.

3 Q All right.

4 A So if they couldn't afford it in the  
5 traditional, we would then, by all means, try and see if  
6 they would fit into a HMP modification.

7 Q All right. And was there some benefit to GMAC  
8 financially if they modified or agreed to a modification  
9 for a homeowner?

10 In other words, did GMAC ever receive any kind  
11 of compensation, federal or otherwise, for putting people  
12 into these programs?

13 A From reading my documents, yes, there were  
14 incentives to the servicer when they were -- when there was  
15 a successful completed modification, both in a traditional  
16 and on the HMP as well.

17 Q All right. And how about compensation from the  
18 federal government? Did, did, did GMAC or the investor, to  
19 your knowledge, ever receive any type of federal funds in  
20 response to any -- and especially with regard to the  
21 Longoni loan -- did they ever receive any kind of  
22 compensation, payment, anything?

23 A From the government?

24 Q Um-hum.

25 A I wouldn't know. Not that I'm aware of. But

JUAN AGUIRRE - 9/1/2011

Page 194

1 says, you know:

2 Understand the background of the  
3 Making Home Affordable Program, Obama  
4 Mod HMP.

5 Is it your understanding the Obama Mod and HMP  
6 is the same thing?

7 A Yes.

8 Q Okay. Now, this shows that it has a date on it  
9 of 3/8 of '10.

10 A Correct.

11 Q Is this a training material didn't come about  
12 until March of '10?

13 A Yes, because that's when the program was  
14 starting to -- well, I'm sorry. That's 2010.

15 March of 2009 is when the program started  
16 rolling out. So I don't know if this was a revised copy or  
17 not. It doesn't say revised.

18 MR. BASHFORD: I'll make a copy now.

19 (Whereupon a recess was taken.)

20 MR. BEKO: Back on the record.

21 (Exhibit Number 26 was marked for  
22 identification.)

23 BY MR. BEKO:

24 Q Showing you what's marked as Exhibit Number 26  
25 for identification.



JUAN AGUIRRE - 9/1/2011

Page 247.

1 60 days. No guarantees... I tried to  
2 update DTI calculator but borrower  
3 did not know her gross income. Said  
4 she would call back tomorrow because  
5 she had to go to work.

6 Q All right. Apparently something else is going  
7 on down there. I don't know if it's a further extension.  
8 We see a 1025 -- 10:25:

9 Do you know what those numbers relate to?

10 A No. I'm not going to guess. No, I don't.

11 Q All right. Do you see this? It says:

12 Pay cuts start 9/28. Ongoing.

13 Tell me what that note means to you.

14 A That's a continuation from the one above it,  
15 where it says reason for default. SPS. I don't know what  
16 the SPS means. Had to get another job and took a pay cut.  
17 Start on 9/2008 ongoing.

18 I don't know what the MI stands for, but:

19 \$1800 a month. Advised foreclosure  
20 sale date on hold. Late charges and  
21 credit reporting continues.

22 H. Casas.

23 I don't know who that is.

24 Q Let's see if we can figure that out.

25 A Okay.

JUAN AGUIRRE - 9/1/2011

Page 248

1 Q Henry Casas?

2 A Correct.

3 Q Okay. The following day you go down and it --  
4 on 7/10/09?

5 A Um-hum.

6 Q 2928. It says:

7 Repay plan cancelled automatic.

8 A Okay.

9 Q And explain to me what that is.

10 A There was four payment plans to that repayment  
11 plan, the \$1600, March 30th, April 30th, June 30th -- wait.  
12 Sorry. March, April, May, June 30th was that balloon  
13 payment that we talked about. That payment was not made so  
14 instead of manually like the last one we saw where someone  
15 manually cancelled it, the system automatically cancelled  
16 it when we don't receive that full amount.

17 Q Okay. So that would have actually been -- that  
18 would have been effective as of June 1st.

19 A Which one?

20 Q The failure to make the payment would have been  
21 on June 1st?

22 A March 30th. April, May, June 30th was when her  
23 final payment was due. So July 1st would have been  
24 considered late. So the payment plan was cancelled after  
25 that payment wasn't received, the June 30th balloon payment

JUAN AGUIRRE - 9/1/2011

Page 255.

1                   Obama Workout Package provided to  
2                   date. 30 days to sale. No contact  
3                   letter.

4           A       I see that it says 30 days to sale. But I don't  
5           see it in the body of the letter.

6           Q       You can see it at the bottom?

7           A       I see it at the bottom. 30 days to sale.

8           Q       Okay.

9           A       Exactly.

10          Q       Were you involved -- strike that.

11                   Did you ever know that there was an effort made  
12           to try to get this property back after the sale?

13          A       There was an understanding -- I have an  
14           understanding that they were trying to get the property  
15           back, yes.

16          Q       Why?

17          A       I don't know. All I know is that they were  
18           trying to get the property back at one point.

19          Q       Were you at involved in the negotiation process  
20           with the purchaser where GMAC was trying to get the  
21           property back?

22          A       No.

23          Q       Did anybody ever tell you that GMAC made a  
24           mistake with regard to foreclosing on this property?

25                   MR. BASHFORD: Objection to the extent it calls

JUAN AGUIRRE - 9/1/2011

Page 256

1 for privileged information.

2 BY MR. ADAMS:

3 Q Did anybody ever -- other than an attorney --  
4 tell you that GMAC made a mistake in foreclosing on this  
5 property?

6 A No.

7 Q Who did you talk with about this attempt to get  
8 the property back?

9 A I wasn't talking -- it was just in conversation.  
10 Ms. DeSilva mentioned something at one time. And --

11 MR. BASHFORD: Objection.

12 BY MR. BEKO:

1 Q Okay. She's an attorney, right?

14 A Yes.

15 Q I don't know want you to tell me about what an  
16 attorney for GMAC told you. If you had a discussion with  
17 anyone other than an attorney about the attempt to get the  
18 property back, that's what I'm looking for.

19 A Rosemary Meeker. She's not an attorney.

20 Q Okay. What did Rosemary Meeker tell you?

21 MR. BASHFORD: Objection.

22 THE WITNESS: That at one point that they were  
23 trying to get the property back. That's what I was  
24 informed.

25 ///

JUAN AGUIRRE - 9/1/2011

Page 264

1 The 2270 and the 1600 were repayment plans that were  
2 discussed.

3 Q Okay. If in fact there had been a reduction in  
4 the principal amount of the loan by the \$186,000, would  
5 that be deemed to be a modification?

6 A If we were going to modify the whole loan and  
7 reduce the amount by the 186 that was proposed, yes, we're  
8 modifying the loan. So that would be deemed a modification  
9 if it was approved.

10 Q So really, in reality, the only modification  
11 that was ever discussed was the modification that included  
12 the \$1600 payment, the write-down, and the change in the  
13 interest rate, correct?

14 A According to what we were reading, Nate had  
15 discussed that with her. That was the second modification.  
16 We had one back in 2007, but this is the second  
17 modification that was discussed with Nate, but it was  
18 submitted for, as a proposal.

19 Q And I understand -- what I'm trying to get at is  
20 you told me that the first discussion of the, of the  
21 repayment plan, that's not a modification, correct?

22 A Correct. A repayment plan is not a  
23 modification.

24 Q So the only modification that was ever discussed  
25 that you know of between GMAC and, and the borrowers, was,

JUAN AGUIRRE - 9/1/2011

Page 265

1 was the modification that Nate was referring to, \$1600,  
2 write-down 186,000, and just for reference, the -- let me  
3 just read to you --

4 A Correct.

5 Q -- his e-mail. On 4/28/09 he says:

6 Hi. It has to go to higher  
7 management due to the amount. The  
8 balance I am showing is 439177.63 If  
9 we get this mod approved your balance  
10 will drop to 269677 for five years.

11 A Okay.

12 Q He responds back later and says:

13 The 186 K includes 15,000 in  
14 interest. So the actual principal is  
15 written off as around \$169,000.  
16 After five years your interest rate  
17 will increase by no more than one  
18 percent a year. The highest it can  
19 go is 13.875. The principal will be  
20 gone forever.

21 That's the only modification that you know of  
22 that was ever being discussed between GMAC and the  
23 borrowers, correct?

24 A Nate's modification, that's the only one I know  
25 of, yes.

JUAN AGUIRRE - 9/1/2011

Page 266

1 Q Okay. So the only modification that Nate could  
2 be referring to as being approved in the e-mail that he  
3 sent had to be that one, right?

4 MR. BASHFORD: Objection.

5 THE WITNESS: I don't know what Nate was  
6 thinking when he said that.

7 BY MR. BEKO:

8 Q Can you identify for me any other modification  
9 that was being discussed between GMAC and the borrowers  
10 other than the one that I just read to you by Nate?

11 A That's the only modification that I am aware of.

12 Q Okay.

13 (Exhibit Number 31 was marked for  
14 identification.)

15 BY MR. BEKO:

16 Q Showing you what's been marked as Exhibit  
17 Number 31 for identification.

18 A Okay.

19 Q Do you recognize this document?

20 A Yes.

21 Q You've seen it before?

22 A I have seen it, yes.

23 Q All right. What do you know about GMAC Mortgage  
24 removing all reference to the loan, the entire loan and  
25 default and all that from the borrowers' credit?

JUAN AGUIRRE - 9/1/2011

Page 277.

1 STATE OF NEVADA )  
2 WASHOE COUNTY ) ss.

3 I, DEBORA L. CECERE, a Certified Court Reporter, State  
4 of Nevada, do hereby certify:

5 That on Thursday, the 1st day of September, 2011, at  
6 the hour of 8:57 A.M. Of said day, at 99 West Arroyo  
7 Street, Reno, Nevada, personally appeared JUAN AGUIRRE, who  
8 was duly sworn by me to testify the truth, the whole truth,  
9 and nothing but the truth, and thereupon was deposed in the  
10 matter entitled herein;

11 That I am not a relative, employee or independent  
12 contractor of counsel to any of the parties; or a relative,  
13 employee or independent contractor of the parties involved  
14 in the proceeding, or a person financially interested in  
15 the proceeding;

16 That said deposition was taken in verbatim stenotype  
17 notes by me, a Certified Court Reporter, and thereafter  
18 transcribed into typewriting as herein appears;

19 That the foregoing transcript, consisting of pages 1  
20 through 277 is a full, true and correct transcription of my  
21 stenotype notes of said deposition.

22 DATED: At Reno, Nevada this 12th day of September,  
23 2011.

24 Debora L. Cecere  
25 DEBORA L. CECERE, NV CCR #324, CA CSR #8821